

Logic – Sample Questions

- T/F:
 - $(A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C$ entails $(A \Rightarrow C) \vee (B \Rightarrow C)$
 - $(P \wedge \neg R) \Rightarrow (Q \Rightarrow R)$ can be converted into a Horn clause.
 - $(\forall x P(x)) \vee (\forall x \neg P(x))$ is a valid sentence.
 - $\forall x x = x$ is satisfiable.
- Consider $(A \vee B) \wedge (\neg A \vee C) \wedge (\neg B \vee D) \wedge (\neg C \vee G) \wedge (\neg D \vee G)$
Use resolution to prove that the sentence entails G .
- Correct each logic representation of the following sentences:
 - “No two people have the same social security number”
 $\neg \exists x, y, n \text{ Person}(x) \wedge \text{Person}(y) \Rightarrow (\text{HasSS}\#(x, n) \wedge \text{HasSS}\#(y, n))$
 - “John’s social security number is the same as Mary’s”
 $\exists n \text{ HasSS}\#(\text{John}, n) \wedge \text{HasSS}\#(\text{Mary}, n)$
 - “Everyone’s social security number has 9 digits”
 $\forall x, n \text{ Person}(x) \Rightarrow (\text{HasSS}\#(x, n) \wedge \text{Digits}(n, 9))$
 - Rewrite the above sentences (uncorrected) using the function symbols $\text{SS}\#$ instead of the predicate $\text{HasSS}\#$.
- Translate the following sentences into FOL using the predicates *French*, *Chilean*, *Wine*, $>$, and the functions *Price* and *Quality*:
 - All French wines cost more than Chilean wines.
 - The best Chilean wines are better than some French wines.
- Assume the following propositions: *BatteryDead*, *RadioWorks*, *OutOfGas*, and *CarStarts*.
 - What is the total number of models?
 - How many models are there in which the following sentence is false?
 $(\text{RadioWorks} \wedge \text{CarStarts}) \Rightarrow (\neg \text{OutOfGas} \wedge \neg \text{BatteryDead})$
 - Is the sentence above equivalent to a set of Horn clauses?
 - Show that the sentence above is not entailed by the sentence
 $\text{RadioWorks} \Rightarrow \neg \text{BatteryDead}$
- Let $M(x)$ be true if x is a mail carrier, $B(x)$ is true if x lives in Berkeley, and $K(x, y)$ be true if x knows y . Translate the following into FOL:
 - There are at least two mail carriers who live in Berkeley.
 - All the mail carriers who live in Berkeley know each other.
- Consider the following sentence:
 $((\text{Food} \Rightarrow \text{Party}) \vee (\text{Drinks} \Rightarrow \text{Party})) \Rightarrow ((\text{Food} \wedge \text{Drinks}) \Rightarrow \text{Party})$

- a. Determine, using enumeration, whether the sentence is valid, satisfiable or unsatisfiable.
 - b. Convert the left and right hand sides of the main implication to CNF and verify your answer to a.
 - c. Use resolution to prove a.
8. Correct the following FOL translations as necessary:
- a. Any apartment in Berkeley has lower rent than some apartments in Palo Alto.

$$\forall x (Apt(x) \wedge In(x, Berkeley) \Rightarrow \exists y ((Apt(y) \wedge In(y, PaloAlto)) \wedge < (Rnt(x), Rnt(y))))$$
 - b. There is exactly one apartment in Palo Alto with rent below \$1000.

$$\exists x Apt(x) \wedge In(x, PaloAlto) \wedge \forall y (Apt(y) \wedge In(y, PaloAlto) \wedge < (Rnt(y), Dollars(1000))) \Rightarrow y = x$$
 - c. If an apartment is more expensive than all apartments in Berkeley, it must be in San Francisco

$$\forall x Apt(x) \wedge (\forall y Apt(y) \wedge In(y, Berkeley) \wedge > (Rnt(x), Rnt(y))) \Rightarrow In(x, SanFrancisco)$$